arXiv:1605.06826v2 [math.RA] 1 Feb 2017

Determinants of Matrices over Commutative Finite Principal Ideal Rings

Parinyawat Choosuwan[a], Somphong Jitman[b,*], Patanee Udomkavanich[a]

[a] *Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand*
[b] *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom 73000, Thailand*

Abstract

In this paper, the determinants of $n \times n$ matrices over commutative finite chain rings and over commutative finite principal ideal rings are studied. The number of $n \times n$ matrices over a commutative finite chain ring R of a fixed determinant a is determined for all $a \in R$ and positive integers n. Using the fact that every commutative finite principal ideal ring is a product of commutative finite chain rings, the number of $n \times n$ matrices of a fixed determinant over a commutative finite principal ideal ring is shown to be multiplicative, and hence, it can be determined. These results generalize the case of matrices over the ring of integers modulo m.

Keywords: determinants, matrices, commutative finite chain rings, commuticative finite principal ideal rings

2010 MSC: 11C20, 15B33, 13F10

1. Introduction

Determinants are known for their applications in matrix theory and linear algebra, e.g., determining the area of a triangle via Heron's formula in [8], solving linear systems using Cramer's rule in [3], and determining the singularity of

☆This work is supported by the Thailand Research Fund under Research Grant TRG5780065.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: parinyawat.ch@gmail.com (Parinyawat Choosuwan), sjitman@gmail.com (Somphong Jitman), pattanee.u@chula.ac.th (Patanee Udomkavanich)

a matrix. Therefore, properties of matrices and determinants of matrices have been extensively studied (see [3], [12], and references therein). Especially, matrices over finite fields are interesting due to their rich algebraic structures and various applications. Singularity of such matrices is useful in applications. For example, nonsingular matrices over finite fields are good choices for constructing good linear codes in [1]. The number of $n \times n$ singular (resp., nonsingular) matrices over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ was studied in [13]. As a generalization of the prime field $\mathbb{Z}_p$, the determinants of matrices over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_m$ of integers modulo m were studied in [2] and [11]. The number of $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_m$ of a fixed determinant has been first studied in [2] . In [11], a different and simpler technique was applied to determine the number of such matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_m$.

Communicative finite principal ideal rings (CFPIRs), a generalization of the ring of integers modulo m, are interesting since they have applications in many branches of mathematics and links to other objects. Cyclic codes of length n over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ are identified with the ideals in the principal ideal ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$ (see [10]). The ring of $n \times n$ circulant matrices over fields is a principal ideal ring (see [9]). Some nonsingular matrices over a CFPIR have been applied in constructing good matrix product codes in [4]. Therefore, the determinants of matrices over CFPIRs are interesting.

To the best of our knowledge, the enumeration of $n \times n$ matrices of a fixed determinant over CFPIRs has not been completed. It is therefore of natural interest to determine the number $d_n(\mathcal{R}, r)$ of $n \times n$ matrices of determinant r over a CFPIR $\mathcal{R}$. Note that every CFPIR $\mathcal{R}$ is a product of commutative finite chain rings (CFCRs). This property allows us to separate the study into two steps: 1) determine the number $d_n(R, a)$ of $n \times n$ matrices over a CFCR R whose determinant is a for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in R$, and 2) show that the number $d_n(\mathcal{R}, r)$ is multiplicative among the isomorphic components of r. The number $d_n(\mathcal{R}, r)$ is therefore follows.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some definitions and properties of rings and matrices are recalled. In Section 3, the number $d_n(R, a)$ of $n \times n$ matrices over a CFCR R having determinant a is determined for all $a \in R$

and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In Section 4, using the fact that every CFPIR is isomorphic to a product of CFCRs and results in Section 3, the number $d_n(\mathcal{R}, r)$ of $n \times n$ matrices over a CFPIR $\mathcal{R}$ having determinant r is determined for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, definitions and some properties of rings and matrices are recalled.

A ring $\mathcal{R}$ with identity $1 \neq 0$ is called a *commutative finite principal ideal ring (CFPIR)* if $\mathcal{R}$ is finite commutative and every ideal of R is principal. A ring R is called a *commutative finite chain ring (CFCR)* if it is finite commutative and its ideals are linearly ordered by inclusion. The properties of CFPIRs and CFCRs can be found in [5], [6], and [7]. For completeness, some properties used in this paper are recalled as follows.

From the definition of a CFCR, it is not difficult to see that every ideal in a CFCR R is principal and R has a unique maximal ideal. Let γ be a generator of the maximal ideal of R. Then the ideals in R are of the form

$$R \supsetneq \gamma R \supsetneq \gamma^2 R \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq \gamma^{e-1} R \supsetneq \gamma^e R = \{0\}.$$

The smallest positive integer e such that $\gamma^e = 0$ (or equivalently, $\gamma^e R = \{0\}$) is called the *nilpotency index* of R. Since γR is maximal in R, the quotient ring $R/\gamma R$ is a finite field and it is called the *residue field* of R. Both the characteristic and the cardinality of a CFCR are powers of the characteristic of its residue field. Denote by $U(R)$ the set of units in R. Then we have the following properties.

Lemma 2.1 ([6] and [7]). *Let R be a CFCR of nilpotency index e and let γ be a generator of the maximal ideal of R. Let $V \subseteq R$ be a set of representatives for the equivalence classes of R under congruence modulo γ. Assume that the residue field $R/\langle\gamma\rangle$ is $\mathbb{F}_q$ for some prime power q. Then the following statements hold.*

1) *For each $r \in R$, there exist unique $a_0, a_1, \ldots a_{e-1} \in V$ such that*

$$r = a_0 + a_1\gamma + \cdots + a_{e-1}\gamma^{e-1}.$$

2) $|V| = q$.

3) $|\gamma^j R| = q^{e-j}$ *for all* $0 \leq j \leq e$.

4) $U(R) = \{a + \gamma b \mid a \in V \setminus \{0\}$ *and* $b \in R\}$.

5) $|U(R)| = (q-1)q^{e-1}$.

6) *For each $0 \leq i \leq e$, $R/\gamma^i R$ is a CFCR of nilpotency index i and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$.*

Proposition 2.2 ([5]). *Every CFPIR is a direct product of CFCRs.*

Given a commutative ring $\mathfrak{R}$ and a positive integer n, let $M_n(\mathfrak{R})$ denote the set of $n \times n$ matrices over the ring $\mathfrak{R}$. Denote by $GL_n(\mathfrak{R})$ the set of invertible matrices in $M_n(\mathfrak{R})$. Equivalently, $A \in GL_n(\mathfrak{R})$ if and only if $\det(A)$ is a unit in $\mathfrak{R}$.

Denote by $D_n(\mathfrak{R}, a)$ the set of $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathfrak{R}$ whose determinant is a and let $d_n(\mathfrak{R}, a) = |D_n(\mathfrak{R}, a)|$. The number $d_n(\mathbb{F}_q, 0)$ was studied in [13] and extended to cover the number $d_n(\mathbb{Z}_m, a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ and for all positive integers n in [2] and [11]. In this paper, we focus on $d_n(\mathfrak{R}, a)$ in the case where $\mathfrak{R}$ is CFCRs and CFPIRs which generalizes the results over $\mathbb{Z}_m$ in [2] and [11].

3. Determinants of Matrices over Finite Chain Rings

In this section, we focus on the number $d_n(R, a)$ of $n \times n$ matrices over a CFCR R.

Let R be a CFCR of nilpotency index e and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let γ be a generator of the maximal ideal of R. For each $a \in R$, by Lemma 2.1, it is not difficult to see that $a = \gamma^s b$ for some $0 \leq s \leq e$ and unit $b \in U(R)$. Precisely, $a = 0$ if $s = e$, a is a unit if $s = 0$, and $a = \gamma^s b$ is a zero-divisor if $1 \leq s \leq e-1$.

For each $a \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the formula of $d_n(R, a)$ can be determined using the above three types of elements in R summarized in the following diagram.



Figure 1: Steps in computing $d_n(R, a)$ over a CFCR R

To simplify the computation, we give a relation between the number $d_n(R, \gamma^s)$ and $d_n(R, \gamma^s b)$ for all units $b \in U(R)$ and integers $0 \le s \le e$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a CFCR of nilpotency index e and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$. If the maximal ideal of R is generated by γ and $0 \le s \le e$, then*

$$d_n(R, \gamma^s) = d_n(R, b\gamma^s)$$

for all units b in $U(R)$.

Proof. Let b be a unit in $U(R)$ and let $0 \le s \le e$ be an integer. If $s = e$, then $\gamma^s = 0 = \gamma^s b$, and hence, we have $d_n(R, \gamma^s) = d_n(R, 0) = d_n(R, b\gamma^s)$.

For each $0 \leq s < e$, let $\alpha : D_n(R, \gamma^s) \to D_n(R, b\gamma^s)$ be a map defined by

$$\alpha(A) = \mathrm{diag}(b, 1, \ldots, 1)A$$

for all $A \in D_n(R, \gamma^s)$. Note that, for each $A \in D_n(R, \gamma^s)$, $\det(A) = \gamma^s$ if and only if $\det(\mathrm{diag}(b, 1, \ldots, 1)A) = b\gamma^s$. It follows that α is well-defined. Since b is a unit, the matrix $\mathrm{diag}(b, 1, \ldots, 1)$ is invertible which implies that α is a bijection. Therefore, we have

$$d_n(R, \gamma^s) = |D_n(R, \gamma^s)| = |D_n(R, b\gamma^s)| = d_n(R, b\gamma^s)$$

as desired. □

In the case where $s = 0$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let R be a CFCR and let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$d_n(R, a) = d_n(R, 1)$$

for all units $a \in U(R)$.

Next, the number $d_n(R, a)$ is determined in three cases depending on the types of a, i.e., 1) a is a unit, 2) a is a zero-divisor, and 3) $a = 0$.

3.1. The Number $d_n(R, a)$: a is a Unit in R

In this subsection, we focus on $d_n(R, a)$ in the case where a is a unit in $U(R)$. By Corollary 3.2, it is suffices to determine only $d_n(R, 1)$.

First, the cardinality of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ which is key to determine the number $d_n(R, 1)$ is recalled.

Lemma 3.3 ([13])**.** *Let q be a prime power and let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$|GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^{n^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - q^{-i}).$$

Next, the cardinality of $GL_n(R)$ is determined.

Lemma 3.4. *Let R be a CFCR of nilpotency index e and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$|GL_n(R)| = q^{en^2}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-q^{-i}).$$

Proof. In the case where $e = 1$, we have $R = \mathbb{F}_q$ and

$$|GL_n(R)| = |GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^{n^2}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-q^{-i})$$

by Lemma 3.3.

Assume that $e \geq 2$. Let γ be a generator of the maximal ideal of R and let $\beta : M_n(R) \to M_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R)$ be a ring homomorphism defined by

$$\beta(A) = \overline{A},$$

where $\overline{[a_{ij}]} := [a_{ij} + \gamma^{e-1}R]$ for all $[a_{ij}] \in M_n(R)$.
Then $A \in \ker(\beta)$ if and only if the entries of A are in $\gamma^{e-1}R$. By Lemma 2.1, $|\ker(\beta)| = |\gamma^{e-1}R|^{n^2} = q^{n^2}$. By the 1st Isomorphism Theorem for rings, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|M_n(R)| &= |\ker(\beta)||M_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R)| \\ &= q^{n^2}|M_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R)|.\end{aligned}$$

For each $B \in M_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R)$, we have $\beta^{-1}(B) = \{A + \ker(\beta)\}$, where $A \in M_n(R)$ is such that $\beta(A) = B$. Note that $A \in M_n(R)$ is invertible if and only if $\beta(A)$ is a unit in $M_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R)$. It follows that, for each $B \in GL_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R)$, we have

$$\beta^{-1}(B) \subseteq GL_n(R) \text{ and } |\beta^{-1}(B)| = |\ker(\beta)|.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}|GL_n(R)| &= |\ker(\beta)||GL_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R)| \\ &= q^{n^2}|GL_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R)|.\end{aligned}$$

Continue this process, it can be concluded that

$$\begin{aligned}|GL_n(R)| &= q^{n^2}|GL_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R)| \\ &= q^{n^2}q^{n^2}|GL_n(R/\gamma^{e-2}R)| \\ &\vdots \\ &= q^{(e-1)n^2}|GL_n(R/\gamma R)| \\ &= q^{(e-1)n^2}|GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^{n^2}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-q^{-i}),$$

and hence,

$$|GL_n(R)| = q^{(e-1)n^2}|GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^{en^2}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-q^{-i})$$

as desired. □

The number of $n \times n$ matrices of determinant 1 over a CFCR R is now ready to determine in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a CFCR of nilpotency index e and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$d_n(R,1) = q^{e(n^2-1)}\prod_{i=2}^{n}(1-q^{-i}).$$

Proof. From the definition of $GL_n(R)$, it follows that $GL_n(R)$ is the disjoint union of $D_n(R,a)$ for all units $a \in U(R)$. Precisely,

$$GL_n(R) = \bigcup_{a\in U(R)} D_n(R,a)$$

and $D_n(R,a) \cap D_n(R,b) = \emptyset$ for all $a \neq b$ in $U(R)$.

By Corollary 3.2, $d_n(R,1) = d_n(R,a) = |D_n(R,a)|$ is independent of a for all units $a \in U(R)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}|GL_n(R)| &= \sum_{a\in U(R)} |D_n(R,a)| \\ &= |U(R)|d_n(R,1).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.4, it can be concluded that

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(R,1) &= \frac{|GL_n(R)|}{|U(R)|} \\ &= \frac{q^{en^2}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-q^{-i})}{(q-1)q^{e-1}} \\ &= q^{e(n^2-1)}\prod_{i=2}^{n}(1-q^{-i}) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

From Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.5, the next corollary follows immediately.

Corollary 3.6. *Let R be a CFCR of nilpotency index e and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$d_n(R,a) = d_n(R,1) = q^{e(n^2-1)}\prod_{i=2}^{n}(1-q^{-i})$$

for all units a in R.

3.2. The Number $d_n(R,0)$

In this subsection, we focus the number $d_n(R,0)$. Moreover, this number is key to determine $d_n(R,a)$ in the case where a is a zero divisor in Subsection 3.3.

First, we determine a relation among $d_n(R,0)$, $d_{n-1}(R,0)$, and $d_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R, 0+\gamma^{e-1}R)$. This relation plays an important role in determining the number $d_n(R,0)$ in Theorem 3.8.

Lemma 3.7. *Let R be a CFCR of nilpotency index e and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let n be a positive integer. If γ is a generator of the maximal ideal of R, then*

$$d_n(R,0) = \left(q^{en} - q^{(e-1)n}\right) q^{e(n-1)} d_{n-1}(R,0) + q^{(n-1)n} d_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R, 0+\gamma^{e-1}R).$$

Proof. Let $D'_n(R,0)$ and $D''_n(R,0)$ be sets defined to be

$$D'_n(R,0) = \{[a_{ij}] \in D_n(R,0) \mid \exists i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \text{ such that } a_{i1} \in U(R)\}$$

and

$$D''_n(R,0) = \{[a_{ij}] \in D_n(R,0) \mid a_{i1} \notin U(R) \text{ for all } i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}\}.$$

Clearly, $D_n(R,0) = D'_n(R,0) \cup D''_n(R,0)$ is a disjoint union. It therefore remains to show that

$$|D'_n(R,0)| = \left(q^{en} - q^{(e-1)n}\right) q^{e(n-1)} d_{n-1}(R,0)$$

and

$$|D''_n(R,0)| = q^{(n-1)n} d_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R, 0 + \gamma^{e-1}R).$$

Let $\rho : D'_n(R,0) \to D'_n(R,0)$ be defined by

$$A \to E,$$

where E is obtained from A by applying a sequence of elementary row operations such that $E_{11} = 1$ and $E_{i1} = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$. It is not difficult to verify that ρ is a $(q^{en} - q^{(e-1)n})$-to-one function.

Let $\nu : \rho(D'_n(R,0)) \to D_{n-1}(R,0)$ be defined by

$$A \mapsto B,$$

where B is obtained by removing the first column and the first row of A. Then ν is a surjective $q^{e(n-1)}$-to-one function.

Note that, for each $A \in D'_n(R,0)$, we have $\det(A) = 0$ if and only if $\det(\rho(A)) = 0$, or equivalently, $\det(\nu(\rho(A))) = 0$. It follows that $\nu \circ \rho$ is a $\left(q^{en} - q^{(e-1)n}\right) q^{e(n-1)}$-to-one function from $D'_n(R,0)$ onto $D_{n-1}(R,0)$, and hence,

$$|D'_n(R,0)| = \left(q^{en} - q^{(e-1)n}\right) q^{e(n-1)} d_{n-1}(R,0).$$

Next, we determine the cardinality of $D''_n(R,0)$. Observe that, for each $[a_{ij}] \in D''_n(R,0)$, we have $a_{i1} \in \gamma R$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma 2.1, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $a_{i1} = \gamma b_i$ for some $b_i \in \sum_{j=0}^{e-2} \gamma^j V$ and V is defined in Lemma 2.1. Let $\psi : D''_n(R,0) \to M_n(R)$ be defined by

$$[a_{ij}] \mapsto [b_{ij}],$$

where

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} b_i & \text{if } j = 1 \\ a_{ij} & \text{if } j \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, ψ is an injective map.

Let $\beta : M_n(R) \to M_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R)$ be a surjective ring homomorphism defined as in Lemma 3.3 by

$$\beta(B) = \overline{B},$$

where $\overline{[b_{ij}]} := [b_{ij} + \gamma^{e-1}R]$ for all $[b_{ij}] \in M_n(R)$.

For each $A \in D_n''(R,0)$, we have $\det(A) = \gamma \det(\psi(A))$. Hence, $\det(A) = 0$ if and only if $\det(\psi(A)) \in \gamma^{e-1}R$, or equivalently,

$$\det(\beta(\psi(A))) = \det(\psi(A)) + \gamma^{e-1}R = 0 + \gamma^{e-1}R.$$

It follows that $\beta \circ \psi$ is a surjective map, and hence,

$$\beta(\psi(D_n''(R,0))) = D_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R, 0 + \gamma^{e-1}R).$$

Observe that, for each $C \in D_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R, 0 + \gamma^{e-1}R)$, there are exactly $q^{(n-1)n}$ matrices in $\psi(D_n''(R,0))$ whose images under β are C. Since ψ is injective, it follows that $|D_n''(R,0)| = q^{(n-1)n} d_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R, 0 + \gamma^{e-1}R)$. □

The number of $n \times n$ matrices of determinant 0 over R can be determined as follows.

Theorem 3.8. *Let R be a CFCR of nilpotency index e and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$d_n(R,0) = q^{en^2} \left(1 - \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - q^{-e-i})\right). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on e and n. If $e = 1$, then $R = \mathbb{F}_q$ and (3.1) holds by Lemma 3.4. If $n = 1$, then $d_n(R,0) = 1$ which coincides with (3.1).

Assume that (3.1) holds for all $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $f \in \{1, 2, \ldots, e-1\}$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_k(R,0) &= \left(q^{fk} - q^{(f-1)k}\right) q^{f(k-1)} d_{k-1}(R,0) + q^{(k-1)k} d_k(R/\gamma^{f-1}R, 0+\gamma^{f-1}R) \\
&\quad \text{by Lemma 3.7,} \\
&= \left(q^{fk} - q^{(f-1)k}\right) q^{f(k-1)} q^{f(k-1)^2} \left(1 - \prod_{i=0}^{k-2}(1-q^{-f-i})\right) \\
&\quad + q^{(k-1)k} q^{(f-1)k^2} \left(1 - \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(1-q^{-f+1-i})\right) \\
&\quad \text{by the induction hypothesis,} \\
&= q^{fk^2} - \left(q^{fk^2} - q^{(f-1)k^2+(k-1)^2+(k-1)}\right) \prod_{i=0}^{k-2}(1-q^{-f-i}) \\
&\quad - q^{(k-1)k+(f-1)k^2} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(1-q^{-f+1-i}) \\
&= q^{fk^2} - q^{fk^2} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2}(1-q^{f-i}) \\
&\quad + q^{(f-1)(k-1)^2+2(f-1)(k-1)+(k-1)^2+(k-1)} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2}(1-q^{-f-i}) \\
&= q^{fk^2} - q^{fk^2}(1-q^{-f-k+1}) \prod_{i=0}^{k-2}(1-q^{-f-i}) \\
&= q^{fk^2} - q^{fk^2} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(1-q^{-f-i}) \\
&= q^{fk^2} \left(1 - \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(1-q^{-f-i})\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the result follows. □

3.3. The Number $d_n(R,a)$: a is a Zero-Divisor in R

In this subsection, we focus on $d_n(R,a)$ in the case where a is a zero-divisor. In this case, $a = \gamma^s b$ for some $1 \le s < e$ and $b \in U(R)$. From Theorem 3.1, it suffices to determine only the number $d_n(R,\gamma^s)$ for all $1 \le s < e$.

The following preliminary results are key to determine the number $d_n(R,\gamma^s)$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let R be a CFCR of nilpotency index $e \geq 3$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let n be a positive integer. If γ is a generator of the maximal ideal of R, then*

$$d_n(R, \gamma^s) = q^{(n^2-1)} d_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R, \gamma^s + \gamma^{e-1}R)$$

for all $1 \leq s < e-1$.

Proof. Let $1 \leq s < e-1$ be an integer and let $\beta : M_n(R) \to M_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R)$ be a ring homomorphism defined as in Lemma 3.3 by

$$\beta(A) = \overline{A},$$

where $\overline{[a_{ij}]} := [a_{ij} + \gamma^{e-1}R]$ for all $[a_{ij}] \in M_n(R)$. Note that, for each $A \in M_n(R)$, $\det(\beta(A)) = \gamma^s + \gamma^{e-1}R$ if and only if $\det(A) = \gamma^s + \gamma^{e-1}b$ for some $b \in V$, where V is defined in Lemma 2.1. Since $1 \leq e-s-1 < e-1$, it follows that $1 + \gamma^{e-s-1}b$ is a unit in $U(R)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\{A \in M_n(R) \mid \det(A) = \gamma^s + \gamma^{e-1}b\}| \\
&\qquad = |\{A \in M_n(R) \mid \det(A) = \gamma^s(1 + \gamma^{e-s-1}b)\}| \\
&\qquad = |\{A \in M_n(R) \mid \det(A) = \gamma^s\}| \\
&\qquad = d_n(R, \gamma^s).
\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$|\{A \in M_n(R) \mid \det(\beta(A)) = \gamma^s + \gamma^{e-1}R\}| = |V| d_n(R, \gamma^s) = q d_n(R, \gamma^s). \quad (3.2)$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we have $|\ker(\beta)| = q^{n^2}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\{A \in M_n(R) \mid \det(\beta(A)) = \gamma^s + \gamma^{e-1}R\}| \\
&\qquad = q^{n^2} |\{B \in M_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R) \mid \det(B) = \gamma^s + \gamma^{e-1}R\}| \\
&\qquad = q^{n^2} d_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R, \gamma^s + \gamma^{e-1}R). \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.3), it can be concluded that

$$q d_n(R, \gamma^s) = q^{n^2} d_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R, \gamma^s + \gamma^{e-1}R).$$

Therefore,

$$d_n(R, \gamma^s) = q^{(n^2-1)} d_n(R/\gamma^{e-1}R, \gamma^s + \gamma^{e-1}R)$$

as desired. □

Applying Lemma 3.9 recursively, the next corollary follows.

Corollary 3.10. *Let R be a CFCR of nilpotency index $e + f$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $2 \leq e$ and $1 \leq f$ are integers. If the maximal ideal of R is generated by γ, then*

$$d_n(R, \gamma^s) = q^{f(n^2-1)} d_n(R/\gamma^e R, \gamma^s + \gamma^e R)$$

for all $1 \leq s < e$.

Now, we are ready to determined the number $d_n(R, \gamma^s)$ of $n \times n$ matrices over a CFCR R whose determinant is γ^s.

Theorem 3.11. *Let R be a CFCR of nilpotency index e and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let n be a positive integer. If the maximal ideal of R is generated by γ, then*

$$d_n(R, \gamma^s) = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} q^{en^2 - n - e + 1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - q^{-s-i})$$

for all integers $1 \leq s < e$.

Proof. Let $1 \leq s < e$ be an integer and let $\mu : M_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R) \to M_n(R/\gamma^s R)$ be a ring homomorphism defined by

$$\mu(A) = \overline{A},$$

where $\overline{[a_{ij} + \gamma^{s+1}R]} := [a_{ij} + \gamma^s R]$ for all $[a_{ij} + \gamma^{s+1}R] \in M_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R)$. Then, for each $A \in M_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R)$, $\det(\mu(A)) = 0 + \gamma^s R$ if and only if $\det(A) = \gamma^s b + \gamma^{s+1}R$ for some $b \in V$, where V is defined in Lemma 2.1. Since $|\ker(\mu)| = q^{n^2}$,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} q^{n^2} d_n(R/\gamma^s R, 0+\gamma^s R) &= |\ker(\mu)| d_n(R/\gamma^s R, 0+\gamma^s R) \\ &= |M_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R)| \\ &= d_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R, 0+\gamma^{s+1}R) \\ &\quad + \sum_{b\in V\setminus\{0\}} d_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R, \gamma^s b+\gamma^{s+1}R) \\ &= d_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R, 0+\gamma^{s+1}R) \\ &\quad + (q-1) d_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R, \gamma^s+\gamma^{s+1}R) \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 3.1. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R, \gamma^s+\gamma^{s+1}R) \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{q-1}\left(q^{n^2} d_n(R/\gamma^s R, 0+\gamma^s R) - d_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R, 0+\gamma^{s+1}R)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

By Corollary 3.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(R, \gamma^s) &= d_n(R/\gamma^{e+1+(s-e-1)}R, \gamma^s+\gamma^{e+1+(s-e-1)}R) \\ &= q^{(e-s-1)(n^2-1)} d_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R, \gamma^s+\gamma^{s+1}R). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(R, \gamma^s) = \frac{q^{(e-s-1)(n^2-1)}}{q-1}\Bigg(&q^{n^2} d_n(R/\gamma^s R, 0+\gamma^s R) \\ &- d_n(R/\gamma^{s+1}R, 0+\gamma^{s+1}R)\Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(R,\gamma^s) &= \frac{q^{(e-s-1)(n^2-1)}}{q-1}\left(q^{n^2}q^{sn^2}\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1-q^{-s-i})\right)\right. \\ &\qquad\left. -q^{(s+1)n^2}\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1-q^{-s-1-i})\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{q^{n^2e-e+s+1}}{q-1}\left(-\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1-q^{-s-i})+\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1-q^{-s-1-i})\right) \\ &= \frac{q^{n^2e-e+s+1}}{q-1}\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-q^{-s-i})\left((1-q^{-s-n})-(1-q^{-s})\right) \\ &= \frac{q^{n^2e-e+1}}{q-1}(1-q^{-n})\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-q^{-s-i}) \\ &= \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}q^{en^2-n-e+1}\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-q^{-s-i}) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

4. Determinants of Matrices over Finite Principal Ideal Rings

In this section, we focus on a more general case. The number of $n \times n$ matrices of a fixed determinant over CFPIRs is determined.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a CFPIR. With out loss of generality, by Proposition 2.2, it can be assume that $\mathcal{R} = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_m$ for some positive integer m, where R_i is a CFCR for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $\phi_i : \mathcal{R} \to R_i$ be a projection map defined by

$$\phi_i((r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m)) = r_i.$$

Note that ϕ_i is a surjective ring homomorphism for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

The number of $n \times n$ matrices of a fixed determinant over $\mathcal{R}$ can be determined as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{R} = R_1 \times R_2 \times R_m$ be a CFPIR where $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_m$ be CFCRs and let n be a positive integer. Let $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and let ϕ_i's be defined as above. Then*

$$d_n(\mathcal{R}, r) = d_n(R_1, \phi_1(r))d_n(R_2, \phi_2(r)), \ldots, d_n(R_m, \phi_m(r)).$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove only the case $\mathcal{R} = R_1 \times R_2$. The rest can be obtained by induction on m.

From the definition of $d_n(\mathcal{R}, r)$, we show that

$$|D_n(\mathcal{R}, r)| = |D_n(R_1, \phi_1(r))||D_n(R_2, \phi_2(r))|.$$

Let $\Phi : M_n(\mathcal{R}) \to M_n(R_1) \times M_n(R_2)$ be a ring isomorphism defined by

$$[a_{ij}] \mapsto ([\phi_1(a_{ij})], [\phi_2(a_{ij})]).$$

Since Φ is injective, it suffices to show that the isomorphism Φ maps $D_n(\mathcal{R}, r)$ onto $D_n(R_1, \phi_1(r)) \times D_n(R_2, \phi_2(r))$. Since $r = (\phi_1(r), \phi_2(r))$, we have

$$\Phi(D_n(\mathcal{R}, r)) \subseteq D_n(R_1, \phi_1(r)) \times D_n(R_2, \phi_2(r)).$$

Let $(B_1, B_2) \in D_n(R_1, \phi_1(r)) \times D_n(R_2, \phi_2(r))$. Since Φ is surjective, there exists $B \in M_n(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\Phi(B) = (B_1, B_2)$ and $\det(B_1) = \phi_1(r)$ and $\det(B_2) = \phi_2(r)$. As $r = (\phi_1(r), \phi_2(r))$, it follows that $\det(B) = r$, and hence, $A \in D_n(\mathcal{R}, r)$. Therefore, $|D_n(\mathcal{R}, r)| = |D_n(R_1, \phi_1(r))||D_n(R_2, \phi_2(r))|$ as desired. □

5. Conclusion Remarks

Determinants of matrices over CFCRs (resp., CFPIRs) R are studied. For a given positive integer n and $a \in R$, the number of $n \times n$ matrices of determinant a over R is determined. These generalize the results on the determinants of matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_m$ in [11]. This counting problem over commutative finite local rings or over arbitrary commutative finite rings would be also interesting.

Acknowledgements

The authors wold like to thank the anonymous referees for there helpful comments. This work is supported by the Thailand Research Fund under Research Grant TRG5780065.

References

[1] T. Blackmore, G. H. Norton, Matrix-product codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$, *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing* **12** (2001) 477–500.

[2] R. P. Brent, B. D. McKay, Determinants and ranks of random matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_m$, *Discrete Mathematics* **66**(1987) 35–49.

[3] W. Cheney, D. R. Kincaid, *Linear Algebra: Theory and Applications*, 2nd edition, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, 2010.

[4] Y. Fan, S. Ling, H. Liu, Homogeneous weights of matrix product codes over over finite principal ideal rings, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* **29** (2014) 247–267.

[5] B. Gilberto, F. Flaminio, *Finite Commutative Rings and Their Applications*, Springer, 2002.

[6] X. Hou, Finite commutative chain rings, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* **7** (2001) 382–396.

[7] X. Hou, K. H. Leung, S. L. Mab, On the groups of units of finite commutative chain rings, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* **9** (2003) 20–38.

[8] D. A. Klain, An intuitive derivation of Heron's formula, *The American Mathematical Monthly* **111** (2004) 709–712.

[9] I. Kra, S. R. Simanca, On circulant matrices, *Notices of the AMS* **59** (2012) 368–377.

[10] S. Ling, C. Xing, *Coding Theory : A First Course*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[11] J. M. Lockhart, W. P. Wardlaw, Determinants of Matrices over the Integers Modulo m, *Mathematics Magazine* **80**(2007) 207–214.

[12] N. Mirashe, A. R. Moghaddamfar, S. H. Mozafari, S. M. H. Pooya, S. N.Salehy, S. N. Salehy, Constructing new matrices and investigating their determinants, *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics* **1** (2008) 575–588.

[13] A. Mukhopadhyay, On the probability that the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix over a finite field vanishes, *Discrete Mathematics* **51** (1984) 311–315.